C L I F F O R D C H A N C E



PROPOSED PROTOCOL FOR COMMUNICATION WITH NON-DISPUTING STATES ON ISSUES OF TREATY INTERPRETATION

**COMMENTS BY ROMESH WEERAMANTRY** 

ASEAN LAW CONFERENCE SINGAPORE 27 JULY 2018

#### COMMENTS

- A welcome development investment treaty dispute procedure
- Potentially reduces the asymmetry in investor-State dispute treaty interpretation
- Drafting points:
  - Wording of Protocol should align with Article 31(3)(a) of the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
  - What if the Protocol conflicts with provisions of the relevant treaty?
  - Request by a party to an arbitration for a Non-Disputing State to make submission on interpretation of treaty should be copied to tribunal and to other parties (see paragraph 2.4, and compare with paragraph 3.4)
- Survey of relevant cases

#### CASES WHERE NON-DISPUTING STATES HAVE PLAYED A ROLE

Case	Supported	Rejected	Post- award	No influence	Forum
Pope & Talbot v Canada (2000)		<b>~</b>			UNCITRAL / NAFTA
Metalclad v Mexico (2000)				<b>~</b>	ICSID / NAFTA
Marvin v Mexico (2000)		<b>~</b>			ICSID / NAFTA
Loewen v USA (2001)		~			ICSID / NAFTA
Pope v Canada (2001)		~			UNCITRAL / NAFTA
Methanex v USA (2002)				<b>~</b>	UNCITRAL/ NAFTA
Mondev v USA (2002)		~			ICSID / NAFTA
United Parcel Services v Canada (2002)	~				UNCITRAL / NAFTA
ADF v USA (2003)	<b>~</b>	<b>~</b>			ICSID / NAFTA
Gami v Mexico (2004)					UNCITRAL/ NAFTA
Bayview v Mexico (2007)	~				ICSID / NAFTA
Archer v Mexico (2007)	<b>~</b>				ICSID / NAFTA
Glamis v USA (2009)	<b>~</b>				UNCITRAL / NAFTA
Commerce Group v El Salvador (2011)	~				ICSID / CAFTA
SGS v Pakistan (2013)			<b>~</b>		ICSID / Switzerland- Pakistan BIT
Sanum v Lao (2016)			<b>~</b>		UNCITRAL/ PRC- Laos BIT

#### ICSID ARBITRATION RULES

RULE 37(2)

### **ICSID ARBITRATION (ADDITIONAL FACILITY RULES)**

**RULE 41(3)** 

Before Submission • Non-disputing party can file submission concerning the dispute to the Tribunal after the Tribunal's consultation with the disputed parties

Tribunal's Considerations

- (a) Whether the submission would assist the determination of factual or legal issue
- (b) Whether submission would address a matter within the scope of the dispute
- (c) Whether the non-disputing party has a significant interest in the proceeding

Non-disputing party's Submission

- Non-disputing party's submission should not disrupt the proceedings or unduly burden or unfairly prejudice either party
- Opportunity given to disputed parties to provide observation on the non-disputing party's submission

#### UNCITRAL ARBITRATION RULES

ARTICI F 5

Each party may be represented or assisted by persons chosen by it

> Communication of choice to all parties and tribunal, specifying whether the appointment is being made for (i) representation or (ii) assistance

> > If the person is to act as a representative, the arbitral tribunal may at any time require proof of authority granted to the representative

## **NORTH AMERICAN FREE TRADE TREATY (NAFTA)**

**ARTICLE 1128** 

On written notice to the disputing parties, a Party may make submissions to a Tribunal on a question of interpretation of this Agreement.

#### THE ASIAN COMPREHENSIVE INVESTMENT AGREEMENT

ARTICLE 40(2) & (3)

Member State's Joint Decision of Interpretation

 Joint interpretation of any provision of the Agreement shall be submitted within 60 days of the request by the tribunal

Status of the **Joint Decision** 

- A **joint decision** of the Member States, declaring their interpretation of a provision of this Agreement shall be binding on a tribunal
- Any decision or award issued by a tribunal must be consistent with the decision

# C L I F F O R D C H A N C E

Clifford Chance, 27th Floor, Jardine House, One Connaught Place, Hong Kong © Clifford Chance 2018 Clifford Chance

WWW.CLIFFORDCHANCE.COM